Here is a **one-page summary** of the document entitled:
"Proposal for a Thesis – The Use of Depleted Uranium Weapons in the World: Impacts, Controversies and Implications"
by **Thierry Lamireau (2025).**

APA Style (7th ed.)

Lamireau, T. (2025, August). *Submission for Brief – The Use of Depleted Uranium Weapons in the World: Impacts, Controversies and Implications* [Unpublished manuscript]. Personal blog.
URL: http://lesoufflecestmavie.e.l.f.unblog.fr/files/2025/08/proposition-de-memoire-lutilisation-des-armes-a-luranium-appauvri-dans-le-monde-impacts-controverses-et-implications-par-thierry-lamireau-.pdf

🔷 Background and objectives

Thierry Lamireau, a former director and teacher, has been interested for several years in the environmental and health consequences of **depleted uranium (AU) weapons** used in various conflicts (Iraq, the Balkans, Afghanistan, Mali, Ukraine, etc.).
This thesis is intended to be a **critical synthesis** of the existing literature and the political and scientific controversies surrounding these weapons.
The stated objective is to **document the persistent impacts**, to question the **official discourse minimizing the risks**, and to **propose avenues of governance** based on the precautionary principle.

🔷 Content and approach

Lamireau adopts an **interdisciplinary approach** that combines:

- **Technical aspects** : nature of DU munitions, physical and chemical mechanisms of particle dispersion, chemical and radiological toxicity.
- **Environmental aspects** : contamination of soil and groundwater, persistence of uranium oxide dust, risks to the food chain.
- **Health aspects** : suspected pathologies (cancers, congenital malformations, kidney disorders), based on case studies or bundles of presumptions.
- **Legal and geopolitical aspects** : international normative vacuum, absence of a specific convention prohibiting the AU, contradictions between military data and civilian expertise.
- **Communication aspects** : invisibilization of the subject by the media, institutional censorship and requirements of "irrefutable proof" preventing action.

🔷 Theses and main arguments

1. **Long-lasting and irreversible pollution** : the bombed areas remain contaminated for centuries, with fine particles that are impossible to completely clean up.
2. **Official undervaluation** : military institutions and some experts have reportedly downplayed the risks, citing the lack of "definitive scientific evidence".
3. **Precautionary principle ignored** : the author argues for its immediate application, regardless of the degree of scientific certainty.
4. **Moral and legal responsibility** : it calls for these weapons to be considered contrary to international humanitarian law, in the same way as chemical or biological weapons.
5. **Need for global governance** : creation of independent observatories, transparency on stocks and tests, support for civilian and military victims.

🔷 References and sources

The document cites:

- Scientific **studies** (e.g. *Radiation protection*, IRSEM, European universities).
- NGO **reports** (ICBUW, CRIIRAD, Greenpeace).
- Institutional **documents** (UN, WHO, NATO).
- Testimonies **and documentary films**.
  The sources are varied, but the text acknowledges the difficulty of obtaining direct data in war zones.

🔷 Author's conclusion

Lamireau concludes that the use of depleted uranium weapons constitutes:

- Major **and long-lasting pollution**,
- An **underestimated health scandal**,
- And a **global moral and political issue**.
  He calls for citizen and academic mobilization to put pressure on states and bring about an **international ban** comparable to that of anti-personnel mines.